

2006 Compliance Monitoring Field Forms

The following forms are being used to evaluate Riparian Management and Road Activity rules in Western Washington. Questions were developed from the Washington State Forest Practice rules WAC 222-30, Timber Harvest, and WAC 222-24, Road Construction and Maintenance sections. The packet includes A Pre-Survey Information Form, five Riparian Management Activity Forms, seven Road Activity Forms, and a Final Post Survey Evaluation Form. These forms may be modified or updated for the 2007 Compliance Monitoring field season.

Westside Form #1 Pre-Survey

FPA #	Date:	Location-Legal	Activities Complete: Y / N / U	Ownership: Public / SFLO / Industrial
Class				
DNR Survey Lead:	DOE Survey Rep:	WDFW Survey Rep:	Other Attendees: Representing:	Other Attendees: Representing:
Other Attendees: Representing	Other Attendees: Representing	Other Attendees: Representing		

Information to be collected: (to be determined pre-survey, check all that apply, attach and complete relevant form)

Use separate forms if needed for multiple activities

RMZ:

☐ S or F: No Inner Zone Harvest – Form #2
☐ S or F: Option 1 – Form #3
☐ S or F: Option 2 – Form #4
☐ Np – Form #5 ☐ Ns – Form #5
☐ Wetlands Form #6

Road Activities:

☐ Road Construction Form #7
☐ Road Maintenance Form #8
☐ Road Abandonment Form #9
☐ Landings Form #10
☐ Permanent Crossings/Type N Form #11
☐ Temp. Crossings/Type N Form #12
☐ Fords on Type N water Form #13

Post survey evaluation Form – Form #14 XX (always needed)

Information to Be Completed Pre-Survey: (As reported on FPA)

Type S or F RMZ—For 2006, Segments will be the first segment listed on the FPA (example 1 or A)

Stream Segment Identifier or Location - _____

Harvest in Inner Zone: Y / N Zone Requirements: _____ Inner Zone Width _____ Outer Zone Width

Site Class on FPA/N: I / II / III / IV / V Site Class on FPARS: I / II / III / IV / V

(Bring map to field for reference)

Stream Width (bfw or CMZs) on FPA: >10 ft / ≤10 ft _____ Stream Length: _____ ft

Core Zone Basal Area: _____ ft²/acre Dominant Canopy: Douglas fir / Hemlock

Option 1 Max dbh for thin: _____" dbh Option 2 Floor Width: _____ ft

Option 2 Total leave trees required: _____ Inner Zone _____ Outer Zone

Outer Zone Basal Area Credit for: CMZ / LWD / Floor Zone (Option 2 Only) _____

Outer Zone Placement Strategy: Dispersed / Clumped Sensitive Area / Clumped

Pre-Survey Comments or Communications:

Westside Form # 2
S or F RMZ: No Inner Zone Harvest
FPA # _____ Date: _____

Y= Yes, N=No, NA = Not applicable, NC =No consensus (Defer to FPF)

- | | |
|--|------------------|
| 1. Were unstable slopes with the potential to deliver bounded out of the sale? | Y / N / NA / NC. |
| 2. Was there a CMZ that was not reported on the FPA? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 3. Was the stream size reported on FPA consistent with the field observation? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 4. If no, did the discrepancy influence the inner zone width (should the stream be
> 10 ft bfw or ≤10 ft bfw?) | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 5. Was there any harvest in the Core Zone? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 6. Was there any harvest in the Inner Zone? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 7. Was the combined Core and Inner Zone the correct width? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 8. Were there Outer Zone leave credits for a LWD placement strategy? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 9. If the landowner is getting credit for LWD trees, does he have at least
10 trees per acre > 12" dbh (8" dbh in sensitive sites) in the Outer Zone? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 10. Were there Outer Zone leave credits for excess basal area in the CMZ? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 11. Did the landowner leave the appropriate number of leave trees as documented on
the application after the CMZ exchange to satisfy the basal area exchange? | Y / N / NA / NC |

If No or NA to questions 8-11, was ONE of the following leave tree requirements met:

- | | |
|--|-----------------|
| 12. Were 20 conifer trees per acre \geq 12 inches dbh or next largest size available left? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 13. If conifer weren't present, are trees clumped around sensitive features and
at least 8 inches dbh, mixed conifer and/or deciduous, and representative of the
trees around the sensitive feature. | Y / N / NA / NC |

Attach any photo documentation to this form or send labeled photos with date, FPA #, and description to leslie.lingley@wadnr.gov (jpgs are okay as long as descriptions are attached.)

Comments:

Signature _____ **Date** _____

Westside Form # 3
S or F RMZ: Inner Zone Harvest (Option 1) Thinning from below
FPA # _____ Date: _____

Y= Yes, N=No, NA = Not applicable, NC =No consensus (Defer to FPF)

1. Calculate the following percentage: (and include answers in positive or negative terms) _____ %

$$\frac{A-B \times 100}{A}$$

A

A= stream length as reported on DFC worksheet

B= stream length measured in field

2. Was the stream length reported on the FPA's DFC worksheet within 10% of the measured value in the field? Y / N / NA / NC

3. Was the stream size reported on FPA consistent with the field observation? Y / N / NA / NC

4. If no, did the discrepancy influence the inner zone width (should the stream be > 10 ft bfw or ≤ 10 ft bfw?) Y / N / NA / NC

5. Is the estimated basal area in the Core Zone the same as on the DFC Worksheet? Y / N / NA / NC

6. If no to #6, then calculate the following percentage: _____ %

$$\frac{(C-D) \times 100}{C}$$

C= basal area as reported on DFC worksheet _____

D= basal area estimated in field _____

7. Is the tree species composition consistent with the DFC Worksheet? Y / N / NA / NC

8. Was there any harvest in the Core Zone? Y / N / NA / NC

9. Was the Inner Zone buffer the correct width? Y / N / NA / NC

10. Was there harvest in the Inner Zone of any trees larger than the thinning strategy allows? Y / N / NA / NC
If yes, describe the situation in the comment section below

11. Was there Outer Zone leave credits for a LWD placement strategy? Y / N / NA / NC

12. If the landowner is getting credit for LWD trees, does he have at least 10 trees per acre ≥ 12" dbh (8" dbh in sensitive sites) in the Outer Zone? Y / N / NA / NC

13. Were there Outer Zone leave credits for excess basal area in the CMZ? Y / N / NA / NC

14. Did the landowner leave the appropriate number of leave trees as documented on the application after the CMZ exchange to satisfy the basal area exchange? Y / N / NA / NC

Turn over and complete Side 2 

This image shows a full page of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page, providing a template for handwriting practice or general writing. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the page.

Westside Form # 4
S or F RMZ: Inner Zone Harvest (Option 2) Leaving trees closest to the stream
FPA # _____ Date: _____

Y= Yes, N=No, NA = Not applicable, NC =No consensus (Defer to FPF)

1. Calculate the following percentage: (and include answers in positive or negative terms) _____ %

$$\frac{A-B \times 100}{A}$$

A= stream length as reported on DFC worksheet

B= stream length measured in field

2. Was the stream length reported on the FPA's DFC worksheet within 10% of the measured value in the field? Y / N / NA / NC

3. Was the stream size reported on FPA consistent with the field observation? Y / N / NA / NC

4. If no to #3, did the discrepancy influence the inner zone width (should the stream be ≥ 10 ft bfw or ≤ 10 ft bfw)? Y / N / NA / NC

5. Is the estimated basal area in the Core Zone the same as on the DFC Worksheet? Y / N / NA / NC

6. If no to #5, then calculate the following percentage: _____ %

$$\frac{(C-D) \times 100}{C}$$

C= basal area as reported on DFC worksheet _____

D= basal area estimated in field _____

7. Is the tree species composition consistent with the DFC Worksheet? Y / N / NA / NC

8. Was there any harvest in the Core Zone? Y / N / NA / NC

9. Was there any harvest in the Floor Zone? Y / N / NA / NC

If yes, describe the situation in the comment section below.

10. Were 20 trees per acre ≥ 12 " dbh left in the outer portion of the Inner Zone? Y / N / NA / NC

11. Were there Outer Zone leave credits for excess basal area in the Inner Zone? Y / N / NA / NC

12. If yes to #11, is the number of Outer Zone leave trees in the field the same or greater than what is required in the DFC print out? Y / N / NA / NC

13. Were there Outer Zone leave credits for a LWD placement strategy? Y / N / NA / NC

14. If the landowner is getting credit for LWD trees, does he have at least 10 trees per acre ≥ 12 " dbh (8" dbh in sensitive sites) in the Outer Zone? Y / N / NA / NC

Turn over and complete Side 2 

15. Were there Outer Zone leave credits for excess basal area in the CMZ? Y / N / NA / NC

16. Did the landowner leave the appropriate number of leave trees as documented on the application after the CMZ exchange to satisfy the basal area exchange? Y / N / NA / NC

If No or NA to questions 11-16, was ONE of the following leave tree requirements met:

17. Were 20 conifer trees per acre \geq 12 inches dbh or next largest size available? Y / N / NA / NC

18. If conifer weren't present, are trees clumped around sensitive features and at least 8 inches dbh, mixed conifer and/or deciduous, and representative of the trees around the sensitive feature? Y / N / NA / NC

Attach any photo documentation to this form or send labeled photos with date, FPA #, and description to leslie.lingley@wadnr.gov (jpgs are okay as long as descriptions are attached.)

Comments:[illegible]

Signature

Date _____

Westside Form #5
Ns or Np RMZ

FPA # _____ **Date:** _____

Y= Yes, N=No, NA = Not applicable, NC =No consensus (Defer to FPF)

Ns Water RMZ

- | | |
|--|-----------------|
| 1. Is there evidence of equipment entry into the 30 ft Equipment Limitation Zone?
(A Yes answer does not necessarily indicate non-compliance) | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 2. Was less than 10% of the soil exposed due to activities? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 3. If >10% of soil was exposed, were mitigation conditions employed and completed? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 4. Is the actual stream consistent with type reported FPA? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 5. If construction was necessary through the RMZ, was the disturbance of the bed
and bank limited to that necessary for project construction? | Y / N / NA / NC |

Np Water RMZ

- | | |
|---|-----------------|
| 6. Was the appropriate length of 50 foot no harvest zone left on the given stream
segment?
Refer to template instructions for appropriate buffer lengths or
WAC 222-30-021 (2)(b)(i-vii) | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 7. Was the reported stream length within 10% of the length measured in the field?
If not, you must provide explanation in comments below. | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 8. Was all harvest away from alluvial fans? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 9. Was all harvest greater than 50 feet from headwall seeps and springs? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 10. Was all harvest greater than 56 feet from all pips, and the confluence of two
or more Type Np streams? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 11. If construction was necessary through the RMZ, was the disturbance of the bed
and bank limited to that necessary for project construction? | Y / N / NA / NC |

Attach any photo documentation to this form or send labeled photos with date, FPA #, and description to leslie.lingley@wadnr.gov (jpgs are okay as long as descriptions are attached.)

Comments: Use back of page if necessary

Signature _____	Date _____
------------------------	-------------------

Westside Form #6
A or B WMZ and Forested Wetlands
FPA # _____ Date: _____

Y= Yes, N=No, NA = Not applicable, NC =No consensus (Defer to FPF)

- | | |
|---|-----------------|
| 1. Were the wetlands typed and sized appropriately on the ground?
If no, explain in comment section of this form. | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 2. Is the variable buffer width appropriate relative to the WMZ table
in WAC 222-30-020 (7)(a)? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 3. Where operations were conducted within the WMZ, were the resulting openings less
than 100 feet wide (as measured parallel to wetland edge)? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 4. Where operations were conducted within the WMZ, were the resulting openings no
closer than 200 feet from each other (as measured parallel to wetland edge)? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 5. If no to questions 2-4, then calculate the following percentage: _____% | |

$$\frac{A-B \times 100}{A}$$

A= perimeter measurement of wetland in question
B= measurement of length along the wetland that does not
meet the requirement of the Rule

- | | |
|---|-----------------|
| Is the resulting percentage greater than 10%? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 6. Within the WMZ, are there a total of 75 trees per acre > 6" dbh? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 7. Of the 75 trees per acre in the WMZ, are at least 25 of these
≥12" dbh, where they exist? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 8. Of the 25 trees per acre in the WMZ that are ≥12" dbh, are at least 5 of these
> 20" dbh+ where they exist? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 9. Are the leave trees in the WMZ representative of species found in the pre-harvest
condition of the WMZ area (evaluate stumps)? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 10. Were any ground based harvesting systems used within the minimum WMZ
without written approval of the Department? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 11. For harvest units of 30 acres or less of clear cut or 80 acres or less of partial cut
AND 10% of the unit is within a WMZ, was there more than 50% of the tree
requirements mentioned in questions 3-5 left in the WMZ? | Y / N / NA / NC |

Turn over and complete Side 2 

- | | |
|--|-----------------|
| 12. If any timber was felled into or cable yarded across Type A or B Wetlands, was there written approval of the Department? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 13. If harvest occurred within forested wetlands, then was the harvest method limited to low impact harvest or cable systems? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 14. If a forested wetland exists within the boundaries of a harvest unit and the area of the wetland is greater than 3 acres, were the approximate boundaries determined by the applicant? | Y / N / NA / NC |

Attach any photo documentation to this form or send labeled photos with date, FPA #, and description to leslie.lingley@wadnr.gov (jpgs are okay as long as descriptions are attached.)

Comments:

This image shows a full page of blank, lined paper. It features approximately 20 evenly spaced horizontal black lines across its entire width, providing a template for handwriting practice or general note-taking. The margins are consistent on all sides.

Signature	Date
------------------	-------------

Eastern and Western Washington
Form # 7 Road Construction
FPA # _____ Date: _____

Y= Yes, N=No, NA = Not applicable, NC =No consensus (Defer to FPF)

***= Pertains to water quality protection. If no water then this should be checked as NA**

1. Was water typed correctly on all waters using either physical criteria or a water type change form? Y / N / NA /NC
2. Was all diverted water returned to the basin from which it came? Y / N / NA /NC
3. Were drainage structures installed at locations of seeps and springs to route water under the road prism to the forest floor to return hydrologic connectivity? Y / N / NA /NC
- *4. Does new road construction minimize stream crossings? Y / N / NA /NC
5. Do roads run across typed water at a right angle? Y / N / NA /NC
6. When stream crossings were required, were alterations to natural features minimized? Y / N / NA /NC
7. Were all bogs or low nutrient fens completely avoided? Y / N / NA /NC
8. Was there any road construction in a WMZ? Y / N / NA /NC
9. If #8 is yes, was the road prism and road length minimized in the WMZ? Y / N / NA /NC
10. If > .5 acre of a wetland were filled or drained due to activities, was the required replacement by substitution or enhancement completed? Y / N / NA /NC
- *11. Was sediment delivery minimized? Y / N / NA /NC
- *12. Were erodible soils disturbed during construction stabilized to prevent the potential to deliver to typed waters? Y / N / NA /NC
- *13. Were roads outsloped, insloped, crowned, ditched or bermed to prevent sediment delivery? Y / N / NA /NC
- *14. Were cross drains, sediment traps, ditchouts, water bars, or other Best Management Practices utilized to prevent sediment delivery? Y / N / NA /NC
- *15. Were all relief structures \geq 18 inches in diameter in Western Washington or \geq 15 inches in Eastern Washington ? Y / N / NA /NC
- *16. Where ditch out and relief culverts have been employed, were diversion structures placed close enough to the stream to divert most sediment to the forest floor? Y / N / NA /NC

Eastern and Western Washington Form #7 (cont'd)

- | | |
|--|----------------|
| *17. When water was routed to erodible soils, were relief culverts appropriately armored and/or vegetated to minimize scour? | Y / N / NA /NC |
| *18 Where the potential for sediment delivery existed, was full bench construction utilized for roads built on slopes greater than 60%? | Y / N / NA /NC |
| *19. If road construction produced end haul materials, were they placed in stable areas to prohibit the entry of material into the 100-year flood plain? | Y / N / NA /NC |
| *20. Were rock armor headwalls and rock armored ditchblocks installed for drainage structure culverts located on erodible soils where the road has a gradient greater than 6%? | Y / N / NA /NC |
| *21. Do relief structures efficiently capture and pass ditch-line flow? | Y / N / NA /NC |

Temporary Roads

- | | |
|---|----------------|
| 22. Was the road designed and permitted to be temporary? | Y / N / NA /NC |
| 23. Was the road constructed in a manner to facilitate closure and abandonment when the intended use is completed? | Y / N / NA /NC |
| 24. Did the road design and culverts provide the same level of protection for public resources as required by the rules during the length of its use? | Y / N / NA /NC |
| 25. Was the road abandonment date identified on the FPA? | Y / N / NA /NC |
| 26. If yes, was the road abandoned by that date? | Y / N / NA /NC |

Attach any photo documentation to this form or send labeled photos with date, FPA #, and description to leslie.lingley@wadnr.gov (jpgs are okay as long as descriptions are attached.)

Complete Road Abandonment Form #8 for any roads that were temporary and abandoned.

Comments:

Signature: _____ **Date** _____

Y= Yes, N=No, NA = Not applicable, NC =No consensus (Defer to FPF)

*1. Were roads out-sloped, water barred, or otherwise left in a condition suitable to control erosion and maintain water movement within wetlands and natural drainages? Y / N / NA / NC

3. Was the road blocked so that four-wheel highway vehicles cannot pass the point of closure at the time of abandonment? Y / N / NA / NC

*4. Were water crossing structures and fills on all typed waters removed, except where the department has determined other measures would provide adequate protection to public resources? Y / N / NA / NC

Comments:

Signature _____ Date _____

**Eastern and Western Washington
Form #10 Landings**
FPA # _____ Date: _____

Y= Yes, N=No, NA = Not applicable, NC =No consensus (Defer to FPF)

***= Pertains to water quality protection. If no water then this should be checked as NA**

- *1. Was the sidecast or fill used for the landing no larger than reasonably necessary for safe operations? Y / N / NA / NC
2. Were truck roads, skid trails, and fire trails outsloped or cross drained uphill from landings and the water diverted to the forest floor away from the toe of the landing? Y / N / NA / NC
- *3. Were appropriate efforts made to direct drainage away from the landing to minimize water accumulation on the landing? Y / N / NA / NC
- *4. Was the landing sloped to keep water from collecting on the operational surface? Y / N / NA / NC
- *5. Where there was a high potential for excavated materials to enter a WMZ, the bankfull width of any stream, or the 100-year floodplain, did the landowner endhaul the materials? Y / N / NA / NC
- *6. Was the location of the landing outside of natural drainage channels, CMZs, RMZs, (both F and N), Type A or B wetlands, and WMZs? Y / N / NA / NC
7. Are there any spoils located within the boundaries of Type A or B wetlands, or within the boundaries of a forested wetland without written approval of the department? Y / N / NA / NC
- *8. Are there any piles of debris that are perched and pose a risk of delivering to typed waters? Y / N / NA / NC

Attach any photo documentation to this form or send labeled photos with date, FPA #, and description to leslie.Lingley@wadnr.gov (jpgs are okay as long as FPA # and descriptions are attached.)

Comments:

Signature _____ **Date** _____

Eastern and Western Washington
Form #11 Permanent Crossings on Type N Water
FPA # _____ Date: _____

Y= Yes, N=No, NA = Not applicable, NC =No consensus (Defer to FPF)

***= Pertains to water quality protection. If no water then this should be checked as NA**

- | | |
|--|-----------------|
| 1. Were alterations to the stream bed, bank or bank vegetation limited to that necessary for construction of the project? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| *2. Does the culvert, its embankments and fills have erosion protection to withstand a 100-year flood? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 3. Is the alignment and slope of the culvert on grade with the natural flow of the streambed? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 4. Are all permanent culverts at least 24-inches for Type Np waters? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 5. Are all permanent culverts at least 18 inches in Western Washington and 15 inches in Eastern Washington for Type Ns waters? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 6. Was slash or debris that reasonably may be expected to plug the culvert cleared for a distance of 50 feet above the culvert? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| *7. Was sediment delivery minimized? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 8. Did the entrance to all culverts have adequate catch basins and headwalls to minimize the possibility of erosion or fill failure? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| *9. Were erodible soils disturbed during construction stabilized to prevent the potential to deliver to typed waters? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 10. Were culverts sized properly for the bankfull width, with consideration for debris? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| *11. Did the culvert installation prevent scouring of the stream bed and erosion of the banks in the vicinity of the project? | Y / N / NA / NC |

Attach any photo documentation to this form or send labeled photos with date, FPA #, and description to leslie.lingley@wadnr.gov (jpgs are okay as long as FPA # and descriptions are attached.)

Comments: _____

Signature _____ **Date** _____

Eastern and Western Washington
Form #12 Temporary Crossings on Type N Water
FPA # _____ Date: _____

Y= Yes, N=No, NA = Not applicable, NC =No consensus (Defer to FPF)

***= Pertains to water quality protection. If no water then this should be checked as NA**

- | | |
|--|-----------------|
| *1. Were alterations to the stream bed, bank or bank vegetation limited to that necessary for construction of the project? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| *2. Does the culvert, its embankments and fills have erosion protection to withstand a 100-year flood? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 3. Is the alignment and slope of the culvert on grade with the natural flow of the streambed? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 4. Are all culverts at least 24 inches for Type Np waters? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| *5. Are all culverts at least 18 inches in Washington or 15 inches in Eastern Washington for Type Ns waters? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| *6. Was slash or debris that reasonably may be expected to plug the culvert cleared for a distance of 50 feet above the culvert. | Y / N / NA / NC |
| *7. Was sediment delivery minimized? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 8. Do the entrances to all culverts have adequate catch basins and headwalls to minimize the possibility of erosion or fill failure? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| *9. Were erodible soils disturbed during construction stabilized to prevent the potential to deliver to typed waters? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| *10. Did the culvert installation prevent scouring of the stream bed and erosion of the banks in the vicinity of the project? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 11. Are the temporary water crossings identified on the FPA? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 12. Was the crossing used and installed after June 1 and removed by September 30 of the same year, unless conditioned otherwise? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| *13. Was the crossing designed to pass the highest peak flow event expected to occur during the length of time of its use? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 14. Is there a written plan for the abandonment and restoration of wetland crossings? | Y / N / NA / NC |

Attach any photo documentation to this form or send labeled photos with date, FPA #, and description to leslie.lingley@wadnr.gov (jpgs are okay as long as FPA # and descriptions are attached.)

Comments: on back of page

**Eastern and Western Washington
Form #13 Fords**

FPA # _____ Date: _____

Y= Yes, N=No, NA = Not applicable, NC =No consensus (Defer to FPF)

***= Pertains to water quality protection. If no water then this should be checked as NA**

- | | |
|--|-----------------|
| 1. Were alterations to the stream bed, bank or bank vegetation limited to that necessary for construction of the project? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 2. Does the ford, its embankments and fills have erosion protection to withstand a 100-year flood? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 3. Is the alignment and slope of the ford on grade with the natural flow of the streambed? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| *4. Was sediment delivery minimized? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| *5. Were erodible soils disturbed during construction stabilized to prevent the potential to deliver to typed waters? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 6. Are entry and exit points for each ford located as close to perpendicular to the stream as possible? (not running adjacent or parallel) | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 7. Are entry and exit points for each ford within 100 feet upstream or downstream of each other? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 8. Is the ford location shown on the FPA? | Y / N / NA / NC |
| 9. Were Best Management Practices implemented for construction, maintenance, or use as required by conditions on the approved application? | Y / N / NA / NC |

Attach any photo documentation to this form or send labeled photos with date, FPA #, and description to leslie.lingley@wadnr.gov (jpgs are okay as long as FPA # and descriptions are attached.)

Comments:

Signature _____ **Date** _____

Westside Form #14 Post Survey Evaluation

FPA #:	Date:	Time Spent:	Terrain: 0% - 30 / 31% - 50% / >51%	Vegetation: Open / Brushy / Very Brushy
DNR Survey Lead:	DOE Survey Rep:	WDFW Survey Rep:	Other Attendees: Representing:	Other Attendees: Representing:
Other Attendees: Representing	Other Attendees: Representing	Other Attendees: Representing		

**Please fill out this section for each activity that was evaluated on the FPA.
Form Number corresponds to the Question numbers on this form**

1. Pre-Survey Information (Form #1)

Did information on the FPA provide adequate means to evaluate the activities completed on the ground? Was all information included on FPARS or was additional documentation required? Were activities accurately described? Were all exchanges, management options and deviations outlined?

2. No Inner Zone Harvest (Form #2)

Status of Compliance: Exceeds/ Compliant/ Minor Deviation/ Out of Compliance

Subjective Non-Compliance Level: Trivial/ Apparent/ Major/ No Consensus

3. Option 1 RMZ-Thinning From Below (Form #3)

Status of Compliance: Exceeds/ Compliant/ Minor Deviation/ Out of Compliance

Subjective Non-Compliance Level: Trivial/ Apparent/ Major/ No Consensus

Westside Form #14 (cont'd)

4. Option 2 Leaving Trees Closest to the Water (Form #4)

Status of Compliance: Exceeds/ Compliant/ Minor Deviation/ Out of Compliance

Subjective Non-Compliance Level: Trivial/ Apparent/ Major/ No Consensus

5. N RMZ (Form #5)

Status of Compliance: Exceeds/ Compliant/ Minor Deviation/ Out of Compliance

Subjective Non-Compliance Level: Trivial/ Apparent/ Major/ No Consensus

6. Wetlands (Form #6)

Status of Compliance: Exceeds/ Compliant/ Minor Deviation/ Out of Compliance

Subjective Non-Compliance Level: Trivial/ Apparent/ Major/ No Consensus

7. Road Construction (Form #7)

Status of Compliance: Exceeds/ Compliant/ Minor Deviation/ Out of Compliance

Subjective Non-Compliance Level: Trivial/ Apparent/ Major/ No Consensus

8. Road Maintenance (Form #8)

Status of Compliance: Exceeds/ Compliant/ Minor Deviation/ Out of Compliance

Subjective Non-Compliance Level: Trivial/ Apparent/ Major/ No Consensus

Westside Form #14 (cont'd)

9. Road Abandonment (Form #9)

Status of Compliance:	Exceeds/	Compliant/	Minor Deviation/	Out of Compliance
Subjective Non-Compliance Level:	Trivial/	Apparent/	Major/	No Consensus

10. Landings (Form #10)

Status of Compliance:	Exceeds/	Compliant/	Minor Deviation/	Out of Compliance
Subjective Non-Compliance Level:	Trivial/	Apparent/	Major/	No Consensus

11. Permanent Crossings on Type N Waters (Form #11)

Status of Compliance:	Exceeds/	Compliant/	Minor Deviation/	Out of Compliance
Subjective Non-Compliance Level:	Trivial/	Apparent/	Major/	No Consensus

12. Temporary Crossings on Type N Waters (Form # 12)

Status of Compliance:	Exceeds/	Compliant/	Minor Deviation/	Out of Compliance
Subjective Non-Compliance Level:	Trivial/	Apparent/	Major/	No Consensus

13. Fords on Type N Waters (Form #13)

Status of Compliance:	Exceeds/	Compliant/	Minor Deviation/	Out of Compliance
Subjective Non-Compliance Level:	Trivial/	Apparent/	Major/	No Consensus

Signatures of representatives and date